Clear Administrative Services Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018 Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 2083 Response rate: 94.8 %

I. Performance Expectations for Leaders

The following set of questions contain administrator preparation program outcomes that each program is expected to achieve.

Development and Implementation of a Shared Vision

Please indicate the extent your preparation program helped you facilitate the development and implementation of a shared vision of learning and growth of all students.

1. Chang a collective vision that uses multiple measures of data		100.0%
Shape a collective vision that uses multiple measures of data	DNR 0	0.0%
1 = Very Well	1270	61.1%
2 = Well	617	29.7%
3 = Adequately	177	8.5%
4 = Poorly	11	0.5%
5 = Not at all	5	0.2%
Don't know	3	_

1.49 **Mean** 0.69 SD

2. Focuses on equitable access, opportunities, and outcomes for all students		99.6%
		0.4%
1 = Very Well		67.5%
2 = Well	514	24.8%
3 = Adequately	149	7.2%
4 = Poorly	6	0.3%
5 = Not at all		0.2%
Don't know	3	_

1.41 **Mean** 0.65 **SD**

3. Engage others in a collaborative process to develop a vision of teaching		2073	99.5%
and learning that is shared and supported by all stakeholders		DNR 10	0.5%
1 =	Very Well	1368	66.1%
2 = Well		539	26.0%
3 = Adequately	153	7.4%	
4 = Poorly		6	0.3%
5 =	Not at all	4	0.2%

Don't know

1.42 **Mean** 0.66 **SD**

4. Guide and monitor decisions, actions, and outcomes using the shared		206	99.3%
vision and goals		DNR 1	4 0.7%
1 :	- Very Well	133	64.8%
2 = Well	56	27.2%	
3 :	Adequately	15	7.6%
1	Poorly		5 0.2%

1.44 **Mean** 0.66 SD

1 = Very Well	1339	64.8%
2 = Well	561	27.2%
3 = Adequately	158	7.6%
4 = Poorly	5	0.2%
5 = Not at all	3	0.1%
Don't know	3	_

Clear Administrative Services Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018 Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 2083 Response rate: 94.8 %

Instructional Leadership

To what degree did your preparation program prepare you to shape a collaborative culture of teaching and learning informed by professional standards and focused on student and professional growth.

E Promoto a culturo in whi	ch staff engages in individual and collective					
	esults in their continuous improvement and high	2	074	99.6%		
performance	·	DNR	9	0.4%		
1 =	Very Well	1	331	64.3%	1.44	Mean
2 =	Well		589	28.5%	0.65	SD
3 =	Adequately		138	6.7%		
4 =	Poorly		9	0.4%		
5 =	Not at all		3	0.1%		
	Don't know		4	_		
6. Guide and support the im	plementation of standards-based curriculum,	2	071	99.4%		
• •	s that address student expectations and outcome	DNR	12	0.6%		
1 =	Very Well	1	219	59.0%	1.52	Mean
2 =	Well		641	31.0%	0.71	I
3 =	Adequately		188	9.1%		
4 =	Poorly		13	0.6%		
5 =	Not at all		5	0.2%		
	Don't know		5	_		
7. Develop and use assessn	nent and accountability systems to monitor,					
	for practice, program outcomes and student	2	064	99.1%		
learning		DNR	19	0.9%		
1 =	Very Well	1	201	58.3%	1.53	Mean
2 =	Well		649	31.5%	0.71	SD
3 =	Adequately		189	9.2%		
4 =	Poorly		17	0.8%		
5 =	Not at all		4	0.2%		
	Don't know		4	_		

Management and Learning Environment

To what degree did your preparation program prepare you to manage the school organization to cultivate a safe and productive learning and working environment.

0.0		1943	93.3%		
8. Provide and oversee a fu	nctional, safe, and clean learning environment	DNR 140	6.7%		
1 =	Very Well	1178	60.8%	1.49	Mean
2 =	Well	584	30.2%	0.70	SD
3 =	Adequately	157	8.1%		
4 =	Poorly	9	0.5%		
5 =	Not at all	8	0.4%		
	Don't know	7	_		

Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 2083 Response rate: 94.8 %

9. Establish structures and employ policies and processes that support	1943	93.3%		
students to graduate college and career ready	DNR 140	6.7%		
1 = Very Well	1095	56.6%	1.56	Mean
2 = Well	630	32.6%	0.73	SD
3 = Adequately	192	9.9%		
4 = Poorly	8	0.4%		
5 = Not at all	10	0.5%		
Don't know	8	_		
10. Facilitate safe, fair, and respectful environments that meet the intellectual, linguistic, cultural, social-emotional, and physical needs of each learner	1939	93.1%		
1 = Very Well	1241	64.1%	1.44	Mean
2 = Well	551	28.5%	0.66	
3 = Adequately	130	6.7%	0.00	30
4 = Poorly	7	0.4%		
5 = Not at all	6	0.3%		
Don't know	4	0.570		
DOIT CKNOW	7	_		
11. Align fiscal and human resources and manage policies and contractual	1938	93.0%		
agreements that build a productive learning environment.	DNR 145	7.0%		
1 = Very Well	961	49.7%	1.68	Mean
2 = Well	672	34.8%	0.81	SD
3 = Adequately	259	13.4%		
4 = Poorly	27	1.4%		
5 = Not at all	13	0.7%		
Don't know	6	_		

Family and Community Engagement

To what degree did your preparation program prepare you to collaborate with families and other stakeholders to address diverse student and community interests and mobilize community resources

12. Meaningfully involve all	parents and families, including underrepresented	1942	93.2%		
communities, in student lea	rning and support programs	DNR 141	6.8%		
1 =	Very Well	1168	60.3%	1.52	Mean
2 =	Well	570	29.4%	0.73	SD
3 =	Adequately	174	9.0%		
4 =	Poorly	20	1.0%		
5 =	Not at all	6	0.3%		
	Don't know	4	_		
12 Fatablish sammunitu na	who are bing that we are the and arranget attribute to				
, .	rtnerships that promote and support students to ent expectations and graduate ready for college	1940	93.1%		
and career		DNR 143	6.9%		
1 =	Very Well	1081	55.9%	1.58	Mean
2 =	Well	616	31.8%	0.76	SD
3 =	Adequately	210	10.9%		
4 =	Poorly	19	1.0%		
5 =	Not at all	9	0.5%		
	Don't know	5	_		

Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 2083 Response rate: 94.8 %

14. Leverage and integrate community resources and services to meet the		1940	93.1%
,		DNR 143	6.9%
1 =	Very Well	1030	53.3%
2 = Well 3 = Adequately 4 = Poorly 5 = Not at all		645	33.4%
		225	11.6%
		21	1.1%
		13	0.7%
	Don't know	6	_

1.63	Mean
0.78	SD

Date: 10/15/2018

Ethics and Integrity

To what degree did your preparation program prepare you to make decisions, model, and behave in ways that demonstrate professionalism, ethics, integrity, justice, and equity and hold staff to the same standards

15. Act upon a personal cod	e of ethics that requires continuous reflection	1914	91.9%		
and learning		DNR 169	8.1%		
1 =	Very Well	1421	74.3%	1.31	Mean
2 =	Well	391	20.4%	0.58	SD
3 =	Adequately	95	5.0%		
4 =	Poorly	3	0.2%		
5 =	Not at all	2	0.1%		
	Don't know	2	_		
16. Guide and support person	onal and collective actions that use relevant	1914	91.9%		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	arch to make fair and ethical decisions	DNR 169	8.1%		
1 =	Very Well	1295	67.8%	1.39	Mean
2 =	Well	488	25.5%	0.63	SD
3 =	Adequately	119	6.2%		
4 =	Poorly	4	0.2%		
5 =	Not at all	4	0.2%		
	Don't know	4	_		
17. Recognize and use their	professional influence with staff and the				
	nate of trust, mutual respect, and honest	1912	91.8%		
communication necessary to on behalf of all students	consistently make fair and equitable decisions	DNR 171	8.2%		
	Very Well	1290	67.6%	1.39	Mean
2 =	Well	494	25.9%	0.63	I
3 =	Adequately	118	6.2%		
4 =	Poorly	4	0.2%		
5 =	Not at all	3	0.2%		

Don't know

Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 2083 Response rate: 94.8 %

External Context and Policy

To what degree did your preparation program prepare you to influence political, social, economic, legal, and cultural contexts affecting education to improve education policies and practices.

			1 71	
·				
			0.82	SD
· ,				
		1.0%		
Don't know	4	_		
hat lead to all students to graduate ready for	1913	91.8%		
	DNR 170	8.2%		
Very Well	1028	53.9%	1.61	Mean
	633	33.2%		
	218	11.4%		
Poorly	17	0.9%		
·	12	0.6%		
Don't know	5	_		
ers and stakeholders to collaborate on education	1909	91.6%		
	DNR 174	8.4%		
Very Well	923	48.5%	1.72	Mean
Well	656	34.5%	0.83	SD
Adequately	280	14.7%		
Poorly	26	1.4%		
Not at all	18	0.9%		
Don't know	6	_		
II. Nature of Induction				
	1006	01 50/-		
your clear induction preparation program to				
Vac with out many ation			1 14	
			0.43	SD
DON'T KNOW	14	0./%		
or the fact of control of a control of contr	1904	91 4%		
u tnink your clear induction preparation program				
Autoriori icaucio:	1/9	0.070		
Very Well	1100	62 7%	1 40	
Very Well	1190	62.7%		Mean
Very Well Well Adequately	1190 513 170	62.7% 27.0% 9.0%	1.49 0.73	
	Adequately Poorly Don't know ers and stakeholders to collaborate on education ng education for all students Very Well Well Adequately Poorly Not at all	Peducation policy environment PNR 170	education policy environment education policy environment every Well Well Adequately Poorly Not at all Don't know Porty Well Official policy environment Don't know Poorly Official policy environment Don't know Don't know Poorly Official policy environment Don't know Don't kno	Description of the proportion of the education policy environment Very Well 928 48.6% 1.71 0.82

Don't know

4 = Poorly

5 = Not at all

0.8%

0.4%

Date: 10/15/2018

16

7

st Responses of "Don't Know" or variations on "N/A" are excluded from the percentage calculations.

Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 2083 Response rate: 94.8 %

developing the skills and to	clear induction preparation program at ols you needed to become an educational leader?	DNR 179	8.6%		
	Very Effective	1133	59.6%	1.52	Mear
2 =		601	31.6%	0.75	
3 =		115	6.0%	0.75	שכ
-	Slightly effective	42	2.2%		
•	Not at all effective	10	0.5%		
5 =	Don't know	3	-		
	u communicate with your coach in person, by sues related to your practice?	1903 180	91.4%		
	Daily	144	7.6%	2.99	Mea
	2-3 times per week	445	23.4%	1.04	
_	Once per week	743	39.0%	1.04	SD
3 =	Twice per month	461	24.2%		
4 =	•	85	4.5%		
_	Once per month				
6 =	Less than once per month	25	1.3%		
o- 41 . 1 . 6 . 11 .		1905	91.5%		
25. About now often did yo	u receive field support from your coach?	DNR 178	8.5%		
1 =	Daily	142	7.5%	3.39	Mear
2 =	2-3 times per week	288	15.1%	1.20	
3 =	Once per week	536	28.1%		
4 =	Twice per month	615	32.3%		
5 =	Once per month	266	14.0%		
6 =	Less than once per month	58	3.0%		
26. My job assignment alloy	ved me to practice and apply my knowledge of				
	ugh coursework in my preliminary preparation	1901	91.3%		
program.		DNR 182	8.7%		
1 =	Very Well	1361	71.7%	1.35	Mear
2 =	Well	420	22.1%	0.62	
3 =	Adequately	107	5.6%		
			0.20/		
4 =	Poorly	6	0.3%		
	Poorly Not at all	6	0.3%		
	Not at all	3			
5 =	Not at all Don't know	3			
5 =	Not at all Don't know	3 4 1901	0.2% — 91.3%		
5 =	Not at all Don't know apply):	3 4 1901 DNR 182	0.2% — 91.3% <i>8.7%</i>		
5 =	Not at all Don't know apply): a. Was an excellent and valuable role model	1901 DNR 182 1642	0.2% — 91.3% 8.7% 78.8%		
5 =	Not at all Don't know apply): a. Was an excellent and valuable role model b. Was experienced and effective	3 4 1901 DNR 182 1642 1377	0.2% - 91.3% 8.7% 78.8% 66.1%		
5 =	Not at all Don't know apply): a. Was an excellent and valuable role model b. Was experienced and effective c. Understood current educational theory	3 4 1901 DNR 182 1642 1377 1181	0.2% 91.3% 8.7% 78.8% 66.1% 56.7%		
5 =	Not at all Don't know apply): a. Was an excellent and valuable role model b. Was experienced and effective c. Understood current educational theory d. Modeled collegial practices that led to my success e. Was well versed in helping me work through	3 4 1901 DNR 182 1642 1377	0.2% - 91.3% 8.7% 78.8% 66.1%		
	Not at all Don't know apply): a. Was an excellent and valuable role model b. Was experienced and effective c. Understood current educational theory d. Modeled collegial practices that led to my success e. Was well versed in helping me work through problems in educational leadership	3 4 1901 DNR 182 1642 1377 1181 1151	0.2% 91.3% 8.7% 78.8% 66.1% 56.7% 55.3%		
5 =	Not at all Don't know apply): a. Was an excellent and valuable role model b. Was experienced and effective c. Understood current educational theory d. Modeled collegial practices that led to my success e. Was well versed in helping me work through problems in educational leadership f. Promoted reflective practice	3 4 1901 DNR 182 1642 1377 1181 1151	0.2% 91.3% 8.7% 78.8% 66.1% 56.7% 55.3%		
5 =	Not at all Don't know apply): a. Was an excellent and valuable role model b. Was experienced and effective c. Understood current educational theory d. Modeled collegial practices that led to my success e. Was well versed in helping me work through problems in educational leadership	3 4 1901 DNR 182 1642 1377 1181 1151	0.2% 91.3% 8.7% 78.8% 66.1% 56.7% 55.3%		

Clear Administrative Services Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018 Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 2083 Response rate: 94.8 %

III. Demographics Section

20. Which of the following l		1901	91.3%
28. Which of the following i	pest describes your pathway into administration:	DNR 182	8.7%
	Classroom Teacher	1426	75.0%
	Counselor	68	3.6%
	Ed Specialist	199	10.5%
	Other	208	10.9%
		1895	91.0%
29. Are you Hispanic or Lat	ino?	DNR 188	9.0%
	No, not Hispanic or Latino	1389	73.3%
	Yes, Hispanic or Latino	506	26.7%
30. What is your race?		1752	84.1%
		DNR 331	15.9%
	American Indian or Alaska Native	76	3.6%
	Chinese	29	1.4%
	Japanese	29	1.4%
	Korean	19	0.9%
	Vietnamese	9	0.4%
	Asian Indian	15	0.7%
	Laotian	1	0.0%
	Cambodian	2	0.1%
	Filipino	53	2.5%
	Hmong	3	0.1%
	Other Asian	8	0.4%
	Black or African American	168	8.1%
	Hawaiian	2	0.1%
	Guamanian	1	0.0%
	Samoan	0	0.0%
	Tahitian	0	0.0%
	Other Pacific Islander	3	0.1%
	White	1420	68.2%
		1895	91.0%
31. What is your gender?		DNR 188	9.0%
	Male	584	30.8%
	Female	1301	68.7%
	Decline to state	10	0.5%

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 94.8 %)

# Survey Requests Made	# and % o Complet Respo	ers who	Name of Institution
428	409	95.56 %	ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA SCHOOL ADMINISTRATORS
28	27	96.43 %	AZUSA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
19	16	84.21 %	BAY AREA SCHOOL OF ENTERPRISE (REACH INSTITUTE)
65	63	96.92 %	BRANDMAN UNIVERSITY
1	1	100 %	CALIFORNIA LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY
2	2	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA
46	46	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, DOMINGUEZ HILLS
24	23	95.83 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST BAY
1	1	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO
16	15	93.75 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON
8	8	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES
2	1	50 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE
2	2	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
4	4	100 %	CORONA-NORCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
104	104	100 %	FRESNO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
15	15	100 %	FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
15	14	93.33 %	IRVINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
51	49	96.08 %	KERN COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS
61	53	86.89 %	LOS ANGELES COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
168	150	89.29 %	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
21	21	100 %	MERCED COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
37	34	91.89 %	MONTEREY COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
115	113	98.26 %	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
11	10	90.91 %	ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
13	12	92.31 %	ORANGE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
29	26	89.66 %	PLACER COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
14	14	100 %	POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY
108	103	95.37 %	RIVERSIDE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
78	76	97.44 %	SACRAMENTO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
82	79	96.34 %	SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
54	52	96.3 %	SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY
23	20	86.96 %	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
38	35	92.11 %	SAN MATEO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
37	35	94.59 %	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY EDUCATION OFFICE
63	57	90.48 %	SANTA CLARA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
3	3	100 %	SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY
4	4	100 %	SELMA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
39	37		SONOMA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
45	45	100 %	STANISLAUS COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
54	47	87.04 %	TEACHERS COLLEGE OF SAN JOAQUIN
21	21	100 %	TOURO UNIVERSITY
12	12	100 %	TULARE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
58	55	,,	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
111	103	92.79 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 94.8 %)

# Survey Requests Made			Name of Institution
11	11	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF LA VERNE
4	4	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF REDLANDS
1	1	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
50	48	96 %	VENTURA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
2	2	100 %	WILLIAM S. HART UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT